# stats6256hyptst 625.5 - Testing statistical hypotheses

## Gunnar Stefansson

October 25, 2021

Copyright This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License. To view a copy of this license, visit <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/1.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/1.0/</a> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford, California 94305, USA.

# Contents

| 1 | Stat                      | tistical tests and test functions        | 3          |  |
|---|---------------------------|--|------------|--|
|   | 1.1                       | Statistical hypotheses                   | 3          |  |
|   |                           | 1.1.1 Handout                            | 3          |  |
|   | 1.2                       | Test functions                           | 3          |  |
|   |                           | 1.2.1 Handout                            | 3          |  |
|   | 1.3                       | Levels, size and power                   | 3          |  |
|   |                           | 1.3.1 Handout                            | 3          |  |
|   |                           | 1.3.2 Examples                           | 4          |  |
|   | 1.4                       |  | 5          |  |
|   |                           | 1.4.1 Handout                            | 5          |  |
| 2 | Selection of sample sizes |  |            |  |
|   | 2.1                       | Determining a sample size based on power | 6          |  |
|   |                           | 2.1.1 Examples                           | 6          |  |
| 3 | The                       | e likelihood ratio test                  | 8          |  |
|   | 3.1                       | Likelihood ratio tests                   | 8          |  |
|   |                           | 3.1.1 Handout                            | 8          |  |
|   |                           | 3.1.2 Examples                           | 8          |  |
|   | 3.2                       | Monotone likelihood ratio                | 8          |  |
| 4 | $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{M}$    | IP tests                                 | 9          |  |
|   | 4.1                       | UMP tests                                | 9          |  |
|   |                           | 4.1.1 Handout                            | 9          |  |
| 5 | p-va                      | alues 1                                  | <b>.</b> 3 |  |
|   | -                         |  | 13         |  |

## 1 Statistical tests and test functions

## 1.1 Statistical hypotheses

#### 1.1.1 Handout

A (statistical) hypothesis is a statement concerning the parameter:

$$H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta \setminus \Theta_0$ 

#### 1.2 Test functions

#### 1.2.1 Handout

A test of the hypothesis is a function

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \{0,1\}$$

where  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)'$  is a random variable (and such that  $\phi(\mathbf{X})$  is also a random variable).

Let  $\chi$  be the domain of **X** (sample space) such that  $\chi = R \cup A$  and  $R \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then we define

$$\phi(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{X} \in R \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{X} \in A \end{cases}$$

meaning that we accept  $H_1$  if  $\mathbf{X} \in R$  and accept (or more politically correct do not reject)  $H_0$  if  $\mathbf{X} \in A$  (see note below on acceptance of hypotheses).

We call R the rejection region or critical region and A the acceptance region. Hypothesis tests produce errors if they accept  $H_0$  when in fact  $H_1$  is true and vice versa, see discussion below in 1.4.

#### 1.3 Levels, size and power

## 1.3.1 Handout

**Definition 1** The power function is the function

$$\beta(\theta) := P_{\theta}[\phi(\mathbf{X}) = 1]$$
  
=  $P_{\theta}[\text{Reject } H_0].$ 

The *size* of  $\phi$  is

$$\alpha = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta)$$

(the greatest rejection probability when the null hypothesis is correct).

Remark 1.1. We may also talk in general about a level  $\alpha$  test if the size is no greater than  $\alpha$ .

## A typical figure needs to be inserted...power curve

From this we conclude that we never actually use the wording to accept a null hypothesis using e.g. a 5% level test since that leads to a probability of up to 95% of a wrong conclusion. Rather we use the wording that we "can not reject  $H_0$ ".

A second conclusion from the figure is that we would generally prefer a test to satisfy

$$\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta(\theta_0)$$
 if  $\theta_0 \in \Theta_0$  and  $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$ 

Such a test is called "unbiased".

One would typically try to choose the sample size, n, such that in a test for e.g.

$$H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ 

 $\beta(\theta) \ge \beta_0$  if  $\theta > \theta_0 + \Delta$  where  $\beta_0$  and  $\Delta$  are predetermined. This is a typical criterion when testing drugs (how many patients are needed to be 80% certain that a 5% level test will conclude that the new drug is better than the old one?)

**Definition 2**  $\phi$  is an unbiased test if

$$\beta(\theta') \ge \beta(\theta'')$$

for  $\theta' \in \Theta_1$  and  $\theta'' \in \Theta_0$ . If  $\phi$  is of level  $\alpha$ , we note in particular that this implies

$$\beta(\theta) \ge \alpha$$

for  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ .

## 1.3.2 Examples

**Example 1** Assume  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $iid, \sigma^2$  known. We intend to test

$$H_0: \mu \leq \mu_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ .

One possible test of size  $\alpha$  for this task is

$$\phi(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \le \zeta_{1-\alpha} \\ 1 & \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \zeta_{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

The power function is

$$\beta(\mu) = P_{\mu}[\text{Reject } H_{0}]$$

$$= P_{\mu}[\phi(\mathbf{X}) = 1]$$

$$= P_{\mu}\left[\frac{\bar{X} - \mu_{0}}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \zeta_{1-\alpha}\right]$$

$$= P_{\mu}\left[\bar{X} > \mu_{0} + \zeta_{1-\alpha}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

$$= P_{\mu}\left[\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\alpha}\right]$$

$$= P\left[Z > \frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\alpha}\right], \ Z \sim n(0, 1)$$

i.e.

$$\beta(\mu) = 1 - \Phi(\frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\alpha})$$

Note that  $\beta(\mu)$  is monotonically increasing in  $\mu$  and  $\beta(\mu) \geq \beta_0$  for  $\mu \geq \mu + \Delta$  holds if  $\beta(\mu_0 + \Delta) = \beta_0$ .

## 1.4 Error types

#### 1.4.1 Handout

Remark 1.2. Consider the possible errors in hypothesis tests

$$egin{array}{c|ccc} Accept & Reject \\ \hline $H_0$ true & (correct) & Type I error \\ $H_0$ false & Type II error & (correct) \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\theta \in \Theta_0 : P_{\theta}[\text{Type I error}] = \beta(\theta)$$
  
 $\theta \in \Theta_1 : P_{\theta}[\text{Type II error}] = P_{\theta}[\text{accept } H_0]$   
 $= P_{\theta}[\phi(\mathbf{X}) = 0]$   
 $= 1 - \beta(\theta)$ 

In general we want to limit  $\beta(\theta)$  on  $\Theta_0$  and we also want to limit  $1 - \beta(\theta)$  on  $\Theta_1$ . Normally a test is chosen to satisfy

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta) \le \alpha \quad (\text{preferably } = \alpha)$$

upon which we want to compute

 $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_1} (1 - \beta(\theta)) = \text{the greates probability of accepting an incorrect hypothesis.}$ 

## Copyright 2021, Gunnar Stefansson

## 2 Selection of sample sizes

## 2.1 Determining a sample size based on power

## 2.1.1 Examples

**Example 2** Assume  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $iid, \sigma^2$  known. We intend to test

$$H_0: \mu \le \mu_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ 

and will select a sample size, n, such that

$$P_{\mu}[\text{Reject } H_0] \geq \beta_0 \text{ ef } \mu \geq \mu + \Delta.$$

One possible test of size  $\alpha$  for this task is

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \le \zeta_{1-\alpha} \\ 1 & \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} > \zeta_{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

The power function is

$$\beta(\mu) = P_{\mu}[\text{Reject } H_{0}]$$

$$= P_{\mu}[\phi(\mathbf{X}) = 1]$$

$$= P_{\mu} \left[ \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_{0}}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} > \zeta_{1-\alpha} \right]$$

$$= P_{\mu} \left[ \bar{X} > \mu_{0} + \zeta_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

$$= P_{\mu} \left[ \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} > \frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\alpha} \right]$$

$$= P \left[ Z > \frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\alpha} \right], \ Z \sim n(0, 1)$$

i.e.

$$\beta(\mu) = 1 - \Phi(\frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\alpha})$$

Note that  $\beta(\mu)$  is monotonically increasing in  $\mu$  and  $\beta(\mu) \geq \beta_0$  for  $\mu \geq \mu + \Delta$  holds if  $\beta(\mu_0 + \Delta) = \beta_0$ . We thus select n to obtain  $\beta(\mu_0 + \Delta) = \beta_0$ , i.e.

$$1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_0 - (\mu_0 + \Delta)}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\alpha}\right) = \beta_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi\left(\zeta_{1-\alpha} - \frac{\Delta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 - \beta_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta_{1-\alpha} - \frac{\Delta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \beta_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta_{1-\alpha} - \frac{\Delta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \zeta_{1-\beta_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \zeta_{1-\alpha} - \zeta_{1-\beta_0} = \frac{\Delta}{\sigma}\sqrt{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow n = \left(\sigma\frac{\zeta_{1-\alpha} - \zeta_{1-\beta_0}}{\Delta}\right)^2$$

## Copyright 2021, Gunnar Stefansson

## 3 The likelihood ratio test

#### 3.1 Likelihood ratio tests

#### 3.1.1 Handout

#### Likelihood ratio test (LRT)

Consider the test function  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  if  $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \leq c$ 

where  $\lambda$  is the likelihood ratio

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L_{\mathbf{x}}(\theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L_{\mathbf{x}}(\theta)}$$

i.e. the ratio of the maximum possible likelihood value under the null to the maximum possible likelihood value over the entire space

Note that we have  $c=1 \Rightarrow \phi \equiv 1$  so the value of c is always chosen with c<1.

Note also from earlier sections that if T is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ , then the LRT can be based on the distribution of T (all components of L containing  $\theta$  are only components of L through T).

## 3.1.2 Examples

**Example 3** Assume  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \sim n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $iid, \sigma^2$  known. We intend to test

$$H_0: \mu \le \mu_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ 

and will select a sample size, n, such that

$$P_{\mu}[\text{Reject } H_0] \geq \beta_0 \text{ if } \mu \geq \mu + \Delta.$$

The LRT of size  $\alpha$  for this task is

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \le \zeta_{1-\alpha} \\ 1 & \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} > \zeta_{1-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

#### 3.2 Monotone likelihood ratio

#### Copyright 2021, Gunnar Stefansson

#### 4 UMP tests

#### 4.1 UMP tests

#### 4.1.1 Handout

**Definition 3** Let C be a collection of tests.  $\phi$  is the uniformly most powerful (UMP) test in C if

$$\beta_{\phi}(\theta) \ge \beta_{\phi'}(\theta)$$

for all  $\theta \in \Theta_1$  and  $\phi' \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Remark 4.1. C is never the collection of all tests since we do not want  $\phi = 1$  uniformly.

**Theorem 4.1 (Neyman-Pearson Lemma)** Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be random variables with joint density  $f_{\theta}$  where  $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \theta_1\}$ .

Consider testing

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ 

(simple vs. simple) Let

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) < k f_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x}) \\ 1 & f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) > k f_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$
(1)

with the rejection region

$$R_{\phi} = \{\mathbf{x}: f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) > k f_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x})\}$$

and k > 0 be such that

$$\alpha = P_{\theta_0}[\mathbf{X} \in R_{\phi}] \tag{2}$$

then the test  $\phi$  is UMP at level  $\alpha$ . Furthermore, if a test,  $\phi$ , of this type, with k > 0, of level  $\alpha$ , exists, then one must have for any test  $\tilde{\phi}$  that if  $P[\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{X}) = 1] \leq \alpha$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is UMP within that category that  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$  (outside a set of measure zero), i.e.

$$P[\phi(\mathbf{X}) = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{X})] = 1$$

for  $\theta = \theta_0, \theta_1$ .

*Proof.* " $\Rightarrow$ " Note that  $\phi$  as defined with (1) and (2) is automatically a level  $\alpha$  test and we need to show that it is  $\phi$  a UMP test among those. Let  $\beta$  be the power function of  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  be another test also of level  $\alpha$  (3), with power function  $\beta'$ . Then  $0 \le \phi'(\mathbf{x}) \le 1$  and

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1$$
 if  $f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) > k f_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x})$ 

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$
 if  $f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) < k f_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x})$ 

and we obtain

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}) - \phi'(\mathbf{x})][f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) - kf_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x})] = \begin{cases} [1 - \phi'(\mathbf{x})][f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) - kf_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x})] \ge 0 & f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) > kf_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x}) \\ [0 - \phi'(\mathbf{x})][f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) - kf_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x})] \ge 0 & f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) < kf_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$

so this quantity is always  $\geq 0$ , for all **x** and thus

$$0 \leq \int [\phi(\mathbf{x} - \phi'(\mathbf{x}))][f_{\theta_1(\mathbf{x})} - kf_{\theta_0}(\mathbf{x})]d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \beta(\theta_1) - \beta'(\theta_1) - k(\underbrace{\beta(\theta_0)}_{=\alpha, \text{ from (2)}} - \underbrace{\beta'(\theta_0)}_{\leq \alpha, \text{ from (3)}})$$

$$\geq 0$$

i.e.  $\beta(\theta_1) \geq \beta'(\theta_1)$  so  $\phi$  is UMP. Note that in the above we obtain "=" because

$$\int \phi(\mathbf{x}) f_{\theta_1}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = E_{\theta_1}[\phi(\mathbf{x})] = P_{\theta_1}[\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1] = \beta(\theta_1)$$

Homework: Find and read the rest of the proof.

Note that this test rejects if the value of the density function at  $\theta_1$  is sifficiently greater than at  $\theta_0$  and therefore it is quite related to the LRT but not quite the same.

Also notice how the density function/likelihood keeps cropping up in different situations from estimation to hypothesis testing. In this case by simply knowing the density function we can find the uniformly most powerful test by using the approach of the N-P lemma.

Corrollary 4.1 If T is a sufficient statistic then the UMP test of the N-P lemma can be based on T (and the density of T).

**Example 4** Let  $X_1,...,X_n \sim n(\mu,\sigma^2)$  be i.i.d. with known  $\sigma^2$ . Suppose we want to test

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \mu = \mu_1$ 

where  $\mu_1 < \mu_0$ .

In this case we know that  $\bar{X} =: T$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu$  and we thus look at the condition

$$g_{\mu_1}(t) > kg_{\mu_0}(t)$$

where  $g_{\mu_i}$  is the density of T. We know that

$$T \sim n(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

and

$$g_{\mu_i}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu_i)^2}{2\sigma^2/n}}$$

so that

$$g_{\mu_1}(t) > kg_{\mu_0}(t)$$

if and only if

$$-\frac{(t-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2/n} > -\frac{(t-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2/n} + c$$

which holds if and only if

$$(t - \mu_1)^2 < (t - \mu_0)^2 + d$$

and this is equivalent to

where k, c, d, e are some constants.

For this test to be of level  $\alpha$  we need to define which outcome of  $T = \bar{X}$  cause rejecton in such a way that the appropriate probability holds, i.e. we reject when

$$\bar{x} < \underbrace{\mu_0 - \zeta_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}_{c}.$$

Next note that when we want to test

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ 

then we know that the test

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \bar{x} \ge \mu_0 - \zeta_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \\ 1 & \bar{x} < \mu_0 - \zeta_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \end{cases}$$

is UMP for every  $\mu_1$  within  $H_1$ .

Also note that to test

$$H_0: \mu \ge \mu_0$$
 vs.  $H_1: \mu < \mu_0$ 

then a test of the form  $\phi = 1$  if  $\bar{x} < e$  is UMP, but the only choice of e which results in a  $\alpha$ -size test is

$$e = \mu_0 - \zeta_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Also recall that if any other test is as good as this one, then we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(X) = \phi(X)$$
 a.s.

**Definition 4** A family  $\{g_{\theta}\}_{{\theta}\in\Theta}$  of univariate densities with  $\Theta\subset\mathbb{R}$  has a monotone likelihood ration [MLR] if the mapping

$$t \mapsto \frac{g_{\theta_2}(t)}{g_{\theta_1}(t)}$$

is a monotonic function in t when  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ , on the set  $\{t : g_{\theta_1}(t) > 0 \text{ or } g_{\theta_2}(t) > 0\} = \text{supp} g_{\theta_1} \cup \text{supp} g_{\theta_2}$ .

**Theorem 4.2 (Karlin-Rubin)** Consider testing  $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs.  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$  using a sufficient statistics T with a MLR. For each  $t_0$  the test

$$\phi(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & t > t_0 \\ 0 & t \le t_0 \end{cases}$$

is UMP test of level  $\alpha := P_{\theta_0}[T > t_0]$ .

Note that for the Poisson distribution we have

$$\frac{g_{\theta_1}(t)}{g_{\theta_2}(t)} = \frac{e^{-\theta_1} \frac{\theta_1^t}{t!}}{e^{-\theta_2} \frac{\theta_2^t}{t!}} = e^{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^t$$

which is monotonic in t.

**Example 5** Suppose we have a random sample from a normal distribution with a known variance, where we want to test  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs.  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ 

11

Consider the following tests:

$$\phi_1(\vec{x}) = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad |\bar{x} - \mu_0| > \zeta_{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\phi_2(\vec{x}) = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad \bar{x} > \mu_0 + \zeta_{1 - \alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\phi_3(\vec{x}) = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad \bar{x} < \mu_0 - \zeta_{1 - \alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

The corresponding power functions are

$$\beta_3(\mu) = P_{\mu}[\phi_3(X) = 1] = P_{\mu} \left[ X < \mu_0 - \zeta_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

$$= P_{\mu} \left[ \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - \zeta_{1-\alpha} \right]$$

$$= \Phi \left( \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - \zeta_{1-\alpha} \right)$$

$$\beta_{1}(\mu) = P_{\mu}[\phi_{1}(X) = 1] = P_{\mu}\left[|\bar{X} - \mu_{0}| > \zeta_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

$$= P_{\mu}\left[\bar{X} - \mu_{0} > \zeta_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right] + P_{\mu}\left[\bar{X} - \mu_{0} < \zeta_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

$$= P_{\mu}\left[\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right] + P_{\mu}\left[\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < \frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - \zeta_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right]$$

$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} + \zeta_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_{0} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} - \zeta_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$$

$$\to 1 \quad \mu \to \infty$$

$$\to \alpha \quad \mu \to \mu_{0} \quad .$$

 $\beta_1(\mu') \geq \beta(\mu'')$  if  $\mu''$  is in  $H_1$  and  $\mu'$  is in  $H_0$ , so  $\phi_1$  is unbiased.

#### Remark 4.2.

#### Copyright 2021, Gunnar Stefansson

## 5 p-values

## 5.1 The p-value

Suppose  $\phi$  is a test function for  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  such that  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  iff  $W(\mathbf{x}) \geq c$ . Define the function

$$p(\mathbf{x}) := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P_{\theta} \left[ W(\mathbf{X}) \ge W(\mathbf{x}) \right].$$

The p-value of the test is the random variable  $p(\mathbf{X})$ .

## Copyright 2021, Gunnar Stefansson