Diagnostics

Based on a book by Julian J. Faraway

University of Iceland

Data

Under the life-cycle savings hypothesis as developed by Franco Modigliani, the savings ratio (aggregate personal saving divided by disposable income) is explained by per-capita disposable income, the percentage rate of change in per-capita disposable income, and two demographic variables: the percentage of population less than 15 years old and the percentage of the population over 75 years old. The data are averaged over the decade 1960-1970 to remove the business cycle or other short-term fluctuations.

library(faraway) # you need to install the package first
data(savings)

The dataframe contains the following columns:

sr savings rate - personal saving divided by disposable income

- pop15 percent population under age of 15
- pop75 percent population over age of 75
- dpi per-capita disposable income in dollars
- ddpi percent growth rate of dpi

- Regression model building is often an iterative and interactive process.
- The first model we try may prove to be inadequate.
- Regression diagnostics are used to detect problems with the model and suggest improvement.
- This is a hands-on process.

Residuals and leverage

Recall that $\hat{y} = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty = Hy$ where H is the hat matrix. Now,

$$egin{array}{lll} \hatarepsilon = y - \hat y = & (I - H)y \ &= & (I - H)Xeta + (I - H)arepsilon \ &= & (I - H)arepsilon \end{array}$$

and $\operatorname{var}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}] = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{H})\sigma^2$ assuming $\operatorname{var}[\epsilon] = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I}$.

 $h_i = H_{ii}$ are called *leverages* and are useful diagnostics.



- Leverage is a measure of how far away the independent variable values of an observation are from those of the other observations.
- Large values of h_i are due to extreme values in X.
- High-leverage points are those observations, if any, made at extreme or outlying values of the independent variables such that the lack of neighboring observations means that the fitted regression model will pass close to that particular observation.
- An average value for h_i is p/n and a "rule of thumb" is that leverages of more than 2p/n should be looked at more closely.

Outliers

Index plot of Residuals

Index plot of Leverages



Studentized residual

We saw earlier that

$$\operatorname{var}[\hat{\varepsilon_i}] = (1 - h_i)\sigma^2$$

This suggests the use of

$$r_i = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_i}{\hat{\sigma}\sqrt{1-h_i}}$$

which are called *studentized residuals*

- If the model assumptions are correct $var[r_i] = 1$
- Studentized residuals are sometimes preferred in residual plots as they have been standardized to have equal variance.

- An outlier is a point that does not fit the current model.
- We need to be aware of such exceptions.
- An outlier test is useful because it enables us to distinguish between truly unusual points and residuals which are large but not exceptional.

Outliers



We exclude point *i* and recompute the estimates to get $\hat{\beta}_{(i)}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{(i)}^2$ where (*i*) denotes that the *i*th observation has been excluded.

Hence

$$\hat{y}_{(i)} = \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^T \hat{\beta}_{(i)}$$

If $\hat{y}_{(i)} - y_i$ is large, i is an oultlier.

How large is large?

Let us define the *jackknife* (or *externally studentized* or *leave one out studentized* or *crossvalidated*) residuals as

$$t_i = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_i}{\hat{\sigma}_{(i)}\sqrt{1-h_i}} = r_i \sqrt{\frac{n-p-1}{n-p-r_i^2}}$$

If the model is correct and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I})$, t_i follows a t-distribution with (n - p - 1) degrees of freddom.

Jackknife residuals

- Since $t_i \sim t_{(n-p-1)}$ we can calculate a p-value to test whether case i is an outlier.
- However, we are likely to want to test all cases (residuals) so we must adjust the level of the test accordingly.
- We can use Bonferroni correction (conservative method): adjust the α level as $\alpha/n.$

Outliers

Studentized Residuals

Jacknife Residuals



(UI)

What should be done about outliers

- Check for a data entry error first these are relatively common.
- Examine the physical context why did it happen? Sometimes, the discovery of an outlier may be of singular interest. Some scientific discoveries spring from noticing unexpected aberrations.
- Exclude the point from the analysis but try reincluding it later if the model is changed. The exclusion of one or more points may make the difference between getting a statistical significant result or having some unpublishable research.
- To avoid any suggestion of dishonesty, always report the existence of outliers even if you do not include them in your final model.

Influential observations

- An influential point is one whose removal from the dataset would cause a large change in the fit.
- An influential point may or may not be an outlier and may or may not have large leverage but it will tend to have at least one of those two properties.
- Some measures of influence, where the subscripted i indicates the fit without case i are
 - Change in the coefficients $\hat{eta} \hat{eta}_{(i)}$
 - Change in the fit $\hat{y} \hat{y}_{(i)}$
- These are hard to judge in the sense that the scale varies between datasets.

A popular alternative to the measures above is the Cook's distance (Cook statistics) defined as:

$$D_i = \frac{1}{p}r_i^2 \frac{h_i}{1 - h_i}$$

An index plot of D_i can be used to identify influential points.

Cook's distance



Outliers and influential points indicate cases that are in some way individually unusual but we also need to check the assumptions of the model.

- A plot of $\hat{arepsilon}$ against \hat{y} is the most importand diagnostic plot.
- If all is well, you should see constant variance in the vertical $(\hat{\varepsilon})$ direction and the scatter should be symmetric vertically about 0.
- Things to look for are heteroscedascity (non-constant variance) and nonlinearity (which indicates some change in the model is necessar).

Residual plots



- You should also plot $\hat{\varepsilon}$ against x_i (for predictors that are both in and out of the model).
- Look for the same things except in the case of plots against predictors not in the model, look for any relationship which might indicate that this predictor should be included.

There are two approaches to dealing with non-constant variance.

- Weighted least squares is appropriate when the form of the no-constant variance is either known exactly or there is some known parametric form.
- Alternatively, one can transform y to h(y) where h is chosen so that $\mathrm{var}[h(y)]$ is constant.

- In order to check if the systematic part (E[X] = $X\beta$) of the model is correct we can look at
 - Plots of $\hat{\varepsilon}$ against \hat{y} and x_i
 - Plots of y against each x_i

But what about the effects of other x on the y vs. x_i plot? Partial regression or Added variable plots can help isolate the effect of x_i on y.

- Regress y on all x except x_i , get residuals $\hat{\delta}$
 - this represents y with the other X-effect taken out.
- 2 Regress x_i on all x except x_i , get residuals $\hat{\gamma}$
 - this represents x_i with the other X-effect taken out.
- **3** Plot $\hat{\delta}$ against $\hat{\gamma}$.

The slope of a line fitted to the plot is $\hat{\beta}_i$. Look for non-linearity and outliers and/or influential points.

Residual plots



Partial Regression

Partial Residuals

Assessing Normality

- The test and confidence intervals we use are based on the assumption of normal errors.
- The residuals can be assessed for normality using a Q-Q plot.
- The steps are:
 - **1** Sort the residuals: $\hat{\varepsilon}_{[1]} \leq ... \hat{\varepsilon}_{[n]}$

2 Compute
$$u_i = \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)$$

Plot
 ê_[i] against u_i. If the residuals are normally distributed an approximately straight-line relationship will be observed.

Q-Q plot



What to do in cases of non-normality?

- A transformation of the response may solve the problem this is often true for skewed errors.
- Other changes in the model may help.
- Accept non-normality and base the inference on the assumption of another distribution or use resampling methods such as the bootstrap or permutation tests. You don't want to do this unless absolutely necessary. Alternatively use robust methods which give less weight to outlying points. This is appropriate for long tailed distributions.
- For short-tailed distributions, the consequences of non-normality are not serious and can reasonably be ignored.

We assume that the errors are uncorrelated but for temporally or spatially related data this may well be untrue. For this type of data, it is wise to check the uncorrelated assumption.

- Plot $\hat{\varepsilon}$ against time.
- Use formal tests like the Durbin-Watson or the run test.

If you do have correlated errors, you can use GLS (Chapter 5). This does require that you know Σ or more usually that you can estimate it. In the latter case, an iterative fitting procedure will be necessary as in IRWLS. Such problems are common in Econometrics.

Diagnostics in R

```
fit <- lm(sr ~ pop15 + pop75 + dpi + ddpi, savings,na.action="na.exclude")
summary(fit)
## Call:
## lm(formula = sr ~ pop15 + pop75 + dpi + ddpi, data = savings,
##
      na.action = "na.exclude")
##
## Residuals:
             10 Median 30
##
      Min
                                     Max
## -8,2422 -2,6857 -0,2488 2,4280 9,7509
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 28.5660865 7.3545161 3.884 0.000334 ***
## pop15 -0.4611931 0.1446422 -3.189 0.002603 **
## pop75 -1.6914977 1.0835989 -1.561 0.125530
## dpi -0.0003369 0.0009311 -0.362 0.719173
## ddpi
       0.4096949 0.1961971 2.088 0.042471 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 3.803 on 45 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3385, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2797
## F-statistic: 5.756 on 4 and 45 DF, p-value: 0.0007904
```

Diagnostics in R

The fortify() method from the ggplot2 package gives us: $\hat{\varepsilon}$ (.resid), h_i (.hat), r_i (.stdresid) and D_i (.cooks):

library(ggplot2) # you need to install first if you have not done that already
diag<-fortify(fit)
head(diag)</pre>

##		sr	pop15	pop75	dpi	ddpi	.hat	.sigma	.cooksd
##	Australia	11.43	29.35	2.87	2329.68	2.87	0.06771343	3.843274	0.0008035888
##	Austria	12.07	23.32	4.41	1507.99	3.93	0.12038393	3.844361	0.0008175997
##	Belgium	13.17	23.80	4.43	2108.47	3.82	0.08748248	3.829661	0.0071546738
##	Bolivia	5.75	41.89	1.67	189.13	0.22	0.08947114	3.844055	0.0007278744
##	Brazil	12.88	42.19	0.83	728.47	4.56	0.06955944	3.805340	0.0140273514
##	Canada	8.79	31.72	2.85	2982.88	2.43	0.15840239	3.845285	0.0003106199
##		.fi	tted	.res	sid .st	tdres	id		
##	Australia	10.560	6420 (0.86357	798 0.23	352010	05		
##	Austria	11.453	3614 (0.61638	360 0.1	728294	43		
##	Belgium	10.95	1042 2	2.21895	579 0.63	108576	50		
##	Bolivia	6.448	3319 -0	0.69831	L91 -0.19	924503	30		
##	Brazil	9.32	7191 3	3.55280	0.96	685880	07		
##	Canada	9.100	6892 -0	0.31689	924 -0.09	90838	73		

The rstudent() method gives us the Jackknife residuals (t_i) :

```
head(rstudent(fit))
```

Australia Austria Belgium Bolivia Brazil Canada ## 0.23271611 0.17095506 0.60655220 -0.19037831 0.96790816 -0.08983197

Add jackknife residuals to diag dataframe

diag\$.jack<-rstudent(fit) # add jackknife residuals to diag dataframe</pre>

Index plot of residuals

```
p1<-ggplot(diag, aes(x=seq(1:length(.resid)),y=.resid))+geom_point()
    p1<-p1+geom_hline(yintercept=0, col="red", linetype="dashed")
    p1<-p1+xlab("Index")+ylab("Residuals")
    p1</pre>
```



Index plot of leverages

```
p<-length(coef(fit))
n<-length(fitted(fit))
p2<-ggplot(diag, aes(x=seq(1:length(.hat)),y=.hat))+geom_point()
    p2<-p2+geom_hline(yintercept=2*p/n, col="red", linetype="dashed")
    p2<-p2+xlab("Index")+ylab("Leverages")
    p2</pre>
```



```
(UI)
```

Index plot of studentized residuals





Index plot of jackknife residuals

p4<-ggplot(diag, aes(x=seq(1:length(.jack)),y=.jack))+geom_point()
 p4<-p4+geom_hline(yintercept=0, col="red", linetype="dashed")
 p4<-p4+xlab("Index")+ylab("Jackknife residuals")
 p4</pre>



Cooks distance

```
p5<-ggplot(diag, aes(x=seq(1:length(.cooksd)),y=.cooksd))+geom_point()
    p5<-p5+xlab("Index")+ylab("Cooks distance")
    p5</pre>
```



Residual plots

```
p6<-ggplot(diag, aes(x=.fitted,y=.resid))+geom_point()
    p6<-p6+stat_smooth(method="loess",se=F)+
        geom_hline(yintercept=0, col="red", linetype="dashed")
    p6<-p6+xlab("Fitted")+ylab("Residuals")
    p6</pre>
```



Locate point on the graph



Residual plots

```
p7<-ggplot(diag, aes(x=pop15,y=.resid))+geom_point()
    p7<-p7+stat_smooth(method="loess",se=F)+
        geom_hline(yintercept=0, col="red", linetype="dashed")
    p7<-p7+xlab("pop15")+ylab("Residuals")
    p7</pre>
```



Partial regression plot

```
d<-lm(sr~ pop75 + dpi + ddpi,savings)$res
m<-lm(pop15~pop75 + dpi + ddpi,savings)$res
pr<-data.frame(d=d,m=m)</pre>
```

```
p8<-ggplot(pr, aes(x=m,y=d))+geom_point()
    p8<-p8+stat_smooth(method="lm",se=F)
    p8<-p8+xlab("pop15 residuals")+ylab("savings residuals")
    p8</pre>
```



Partial residuals

```
savings$partPop15<-fit$res+fit$coef['pop15']*savings$pop15</pre>
```

```
p9<-ggplot(savings, aes(x=pop15,y=partPop15))+geom_point()
    p9<-p9+stat_smooth(method="lm",se=F)
    p9<-p9+xlab("pop15")+ylab("Savings (adjusted)")
    p9</pre>
```



Q-Q plot of studentized residuals

```
p10<-ggplot(diag, aes(sample = .stdresid)) + stat_qq()
p10 <- p10 + geom_abline(slope=1)
p10 <- p10 + ylab("Studentized residuals")
p10</pre>
```



Histogram of residuals



